

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box. 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/422,387	10/21/1999	MOSHE ZILBERSTEIN	2559/1F420-U	5469	
75	7590 09/27/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
DARBY & DARBY 805 THIRD AVENUE NEW YORK, NY 10022			DINH, KI	DINH, KHANH Q	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW TORK,	11 10022		2151		
			DATE MAILED: 09/27/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

8

		·				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/422,387	ZILBERSTEIN ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Khanh Dinh	2151			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	opears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
THE   - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a represent of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statureply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a repl ply within the statutory minimum of thirty ( d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tte, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed  30) days will be considered timely.  S from the mailing date of this communication.  IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26	<u>August 2004</u> .				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
<b>4)</b> ⊠.	Claim(s) 7-11,14,15 and 20-26 is/are pending	g in the application.	,			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)□	)☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)∐	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached C	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	`				
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documer		19(a)-(d) or (f).			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bure	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen	t(s)		•			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sun	nmary (PTO-413)			
2) D Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/N	Mail Date			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06 r No(s)/Mail Date	3)	rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
	<del></del>	· <del></del>				

Art Unit: 2151

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 8/26/2004 has been entered.
- 2. Claims 7-11, 14, 15, 20 and new claims 21-26 are presented for examination.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not

Art Unit: 2151

commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

4. Claims 7-11, 14, 15 and 20-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hoyer et al. (hereafter Hoyer), U.S. Pat. No.6,381,635 in view of Smith et al. (hereafter Smith), U.S. pat. No.6,578,078.

As to claim 7, Hoyer discloses a method for providing usage information of a first web site designated by a user (210 fig.3) (a system for screening Internet usage), the method comprising:

receiving, from the user (210 fig.3), a designation of the first web site as a monitored website (viewing the performance of monitored web sties, see col.10 lines 44-65), wherein the monitored website is any web site on a communication network (see figs. 3, 4, abstract, col.8 line 52 to col.9 line 33 and col.10 lines 45-65).

monitoring usage of the monitored website (performance monitoring) and transmitting data representative of the usage (performance data measurements) to the user by way of a monitor window (display 34 fig.1) to the user (210 fig.3) (see col.10 line 45 to col.11 line 51).

Hoyer does not specifically disclose transferring data to user when user connected to other web sites. However, Smith in the same usage monitoring environment discloses transferring data to user when user connected to other web sites (when user to connect to a web server requesting for a resource, the server looks up

Art Unit: 2151

the location in the table and forward a copy of a resource to the client (see Smith's col.11 line 13 line 13 to col.12 line 35 and col.12 lines 36-67). It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Smith's teachings into the computer system of Hoyer to process data information in the internet because it would have enabled users to easily retrieve web pages and resource information from web servers at a desired location in a communications network.

As to claims 8 and 9, Hoyer discloses displaying to the user the usage information in a graphical format and in a text format (documents providing to user are written in HTML. see col.6 lines 20-45 and col.7 lines 22-65).

As to claims 10 and 11, Hoyer discloses the usage information is displayed automatically to the user and displayed only upon a command generated by the user (users clicks buttons to get access to usage information, see fig.7, col.18 lines 17-40).

As to claim 14, Hoyer discloses a computer-readable medium encoded with processing instructions for implementing a method for providing usage information of a first web site (monitored web site) designated by a user (210 fig.3) (a system for screening Internet usage), the method comprising:

receiving, from the user, a designation of the first web page as a monitored website (monitored web site) viewing the performance of monitored web sties, see

Art Unit: 2151

col.10 lines 44-65) wherein the monitored website is any web site on a communication network (see figs. 3, 4, abstract, col.8 line 52 to col.9 line 33 and col.10 lines 45-65).

monitoring usage of the monitored website and transmitting data representative of the usage to the user by way of a monitor window (display 34 fig.1) to the user (210 fig.3) (see col.10 line 45 to col.11 line 51).

Hoyer does not specifically disclose transferring data to user when user connected to other web sites. However, Smith in the same usage monitoring environment discloses transferring data to user when user connected to other web sites (when user to connect to a web server requesting for a resource, the server looks up the location in the table and forward a copy of a resource to the user (see col.11 line 13 line 13 to col.12 line 35 and col.12 lines 36-67). It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Smith's teachings into the computer system of Hoyer to process data information in the internet because it would have enabled users to easily retrieve web pages and resource information from web servers at a desired location in a communications network.

As to claim 15, Hoyer discloses an apparatus for providing usage information of a first web site (monitored web site) designated by a user (210 fig.3) (a system for screening Internet usage):

a processor and a memory storing instruction for controlling the processor, the processor operative with the processing instructions to:

Art Unit: 2151

Receive, from the user (210 fig.3), a designation of the first web page as a monitored website (monitored web site) viewing the performance of monitored web sites (monitored web sites), wherein the monitored website is any web site on a communication network (see figs. 3, 4, abstract, col.8 line 52 to col.9 line 33 and col.10 lines 45-65).

monitoring usage of the monitored website and transmitting data representative of the usage to the user by way of a monitor window (display 34 fig.1) to the user (210 fig.3) (see col.10 line 45 to col.11 line 51).

Hoyer does not specifically disclose transferring data to user when user connected to other web sites. However, Smith in the same usage monitoring environment discloses transferring data to user when user connected to other web sites (when user to connect to a web server requesting for a resource, the server looks up the location in the table and forward a copy of a resource to the user (see col.11 line 13 line 13 to col.12 line 35 and col.12 lines 36-67). It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Smith's teachings into the computer system of Hoyer to process data information in the internet because it would have enabled users to easily retrieve web pages and resource information from web servers at a desired location in a communications network.

As to claim 20, Hoyer discloses an indication of a most-popular next-visited web site for the plurality of users, an indication of web sites visited by the plurality of users prior to visiting the first web site and an indication of when and for how long the plurality of

Art Unit: 2151

users visited the first web site (using server history and cluster history, see fig.4, col.7 lines 9-65 and col.10 line 45 to col.11 line 62).

As to claim 21, Hoyer discloses a method for providing usage information of a first web site designated by a first user, the method comprising:

receiving, from the first user (210 fig.3), a designation of the first web site as a monitored website (monitored web site), wherein the monitored website is any web site on a communication network see figs. 3, 4, abstract, col.8 line 52 to col.9 line 33 and col.10 lines 45-65).

monitoring at least one other user's usage of the monitored website and transmitting data representative (user's usage information) of the at least one other user's usage to the first user by way of a monitor window (display 34 fig.1) to the user (210 fig.3) (see col.10 line 45 to col.11 line 51).

Hoyer does not specifically disclose transferring data to a user when user connected to other web sites. However, Smith in the same usage monitoring environment discloses transferring data to user when user connected to other web sites (when users to connect to a web server requesting for a resource, the server looks up the location in the table and forward a copy of a resource to the users (see col.11 line 13 line 13 to col.12 line 35 and col.12 lines 36-67). It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Smith's teachings into the computer system of Hoyer to process data information in the internet

Art Unit: 2151

because it would have enabled users to easily retrieve web pages and resource information from web servers at a desired location in a communications network.

Claims 22-26 are rejected for the same reasons set forth in claims 8-11 and 20 respectively.

# Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 7-11, 14, 15, 20 and 21-26 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

# Other prior art cited

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
  - a. Chernin, US pat. No.6,643,694.
  - b. Logan, US pat. No.6,665,659.
  - c. Dilon, US pat. No.6,351,467.

### Conclusion

- 7. Claims 7-11, 14, 15 and 20-26 are rejected.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khanh Dinh whose telephone number is (703) 308-

Art Unit: 2151

8528. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 A.m. to 5:00 P.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Zarni Maung, can be reached on (703) 308-6687. The fax phone number for this group is (703) 872-9306.

A shortened statutory period for reply is set to expire THREE months from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to response within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned (35 U. S. C. Sect. 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(A).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305 -9600.

Khanh Dinh Patent Examiner Art Unit 2151

9/21/2004